

therefore does not require amendment.)

4. The rejection of claims 41, 43-46 and 49 for obviousness is moot as those claims have been cancelled.

5. Claim 42 was objected to as being dependent on a rejected base claim. As previously noted, claim 42 has been cancelled as claim 3 was amended to incorporate its limitations.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.
Attorneys for Applicant

By: 

Iver P. Cooper
Reg. No. 28,005

419 Seventh Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004
Telephone: (202) 628-5197
Facsimile: (202) 737-3528
IPC:lms
f:\user19\wp\A-C\cla529us.am6